THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 26434 of 2006

Dated: 20.12.2006

Between:

A. Venkateswara Rao, S/o Koti Lingam, Occ: Driver, E.392585, aged about 50 years, R/o Amurthalur Post, Tenali Mandal, Guntur District.

.... PETITIONER

AND

APSRTC, rep. by its Regional Manager, Guntur Region, Guntur District and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 26434 of 2006

Order:

The main grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition is that against the removal order, dated 28.05.2003, passed by the 3rd respondent-Depot Manager, he preferred an appeal before the 2nd respondent-Divisional Manager, who by order dated 12.12.2003, set aside the said removal order and ordered to reinstate the petitioner into service, but imposed punishment of reduction of pay by two incremental stages with cumulative effect and treating the suspension period as not on duty. Aggrieved by the said orders insofar as imposing punishment of reduction of pay by two incremental stages with cumulative effect and treating the suspension period as not on duty, the petitioner filed review petition before the 1st respondent-Regional Manager on 14.04.2005, but no orders thereon have been passed till date. Hence, he filed the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents-Corporation.

Since the review petition filed by the petitioner is said to be pending consideration before the 1st respondent-Regional Manager, without going into the merits of the matter, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with the following directions:

The 1st respondent-Regional Manager is directed to consider and dispose of the review petition dated 14.04.2005, filed by the petitioner against the orders, dated 12.12.2003, passed by the 2nd respondent-Divisional Manager, expeditiously.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 20.12.2006
NSR/ISL